



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,677	12/28/2000	Masaki Okayasu	Q62316	8681	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
			CHEN, TIANJIE		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. Washington, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2652		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/749,677	OKAYASU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tianjie Chen	2652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	Nugust 2003 .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,13-15 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8.11,12,16-18 and 20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 March 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	arniner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	and a draw and an OF H. O. O.	0.440(-) (-1) (0.			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (1).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	have been received				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has	been received.			
Attachment(s)	,,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2652

2nd Non-Final Rejection

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "optical elements are formed on a substrate" recited in claims 6, and 14; and "a photo detector formed on the substrate; a prism formed on the substrate; a photo diode formed on the substrate; and a laser diode formed on the substrate" recited in claim 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 17 recites: "the hybrid optical module is disposed completely between the first shaft and a plane intersecting an optical axis of the objective lens and a rotational axis of the spindle." However, the invention only disclose "the hybrid optical module is disposed completely between the first shaft and a plane **containing** an optical axis of the objective lens and a rotational axis of the spindle."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/749,677

Art Unit: 2652

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miike (US 6,111,827).

With regard to claim 13, Miike shows an apparatus in Figs. 4 and 6, including: a movable member 13+15 that is supported by at least a first shaft 18 and a second shaft 19; and a hybrid optical module 1 having a light emitting 38 and receiving device 32 mounted on the movable member, wherein the hybrid optical module is moved along the first shaft and the second shaft by the moveable member to perform a tracking operation on an optical medium, wherein a portion of the hybrid optical module, which is closer to the first shaft 18, is cut out in substantially parallel with the first shaft, and wherein the portion of the hybrid optical module is cut by an angle A that is substantially equal to an incident angle B of an optical path with respect to a tracking direction of the optical medium (See drawing in next page)

With regard to claim 14, Milke further shows that the hybrid optical module integrates optical components, and. wherein the optical components 28-32 and 38 are formed on a substrate (the bottom of the cavity in Fig. 6).

With regard to claim 15, Miike further shows that the substrate and the optical components are housed in a package.

With regard to claim 19, Miike further shows that the hybrid optical module is pentagon-shaped.

Art Unit: 2652

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miike (US 6,111,827) in view of Ohtsuka et al (US 5,297,127).

With regard to claim 1, Miike shows a mounting structure of a hybrid optical module in Figs. 4 and 6 including: a movable member 1 that is supported by two shafts 18 and 19, a recording medium drive apparatus shown in Fig 4 including a hybrid optical module having a light emitting 38 (Fig. 4; column 13, line 14) and receiving device 32 (Fig. 4; column 14, line 31) mounted on the movable member, the hybrid optical module 1 being slid on the shafts 18 and 19 so as to perform a tracking operation on an optical recording medium (moving radially, column 6, lines 4-6), wherein a portion P of the hybrid optical module which is closer to one of the shafts 18 when the hybrid optical module is mounted on the movable member is cut out in substantially parallel with the shaft, and by an angle A which is substantially equal to an incident angle B of an optical path with respect to a tracking direction of the optical recording medium.

Miike does not show a driving coil attached to the movable member.

Ohtsuka et al shows an optical device, wherein a driving coil 13 is attached to the movable member 1 (Fig. 1,column 2, lines 26-31).

Art Unit: 2652

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the coil taught by Ohtsuka et al into Miike's device. The rationale is as follows: Miike has mentioned that the movable member is moved by a sled motor (column 6, lines 8-10), but was not illustrated. Ohtsuka et al shows a moving mechanism, which is moved by a sled motor having a coil attached on the movable member. And use a coil for moving the movable member in an optical tracking system is well known and notorious in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add the coil taught by Ohtsuka et al into Miike's device, thus being able to move the movable member.

With regard to claim 2, Miike further shows that a spindle motor 17 (Fig. 4, column 6, line 12) is placed to be closer to the shaft 19 in a direction of light incidence of an optical system including the hybrid optical module, and the hybrid optical module having a cut portion P which is closer to another shaft 18 is mounted with a pick-up unit shown in Fig. 7.

With regard to claim 3, Milke further shows that the angle A by which the portion of the hybrid optical module is cut out is in a range of 30° to 45° substantially.

With regard to claim 4, Miike and Ohtsuka et al shows a recording medium drive apparatus as described above including: a movable member that is supported by at least two shafts, and a polygonal hybrid optical module having a light emitting and receiving device which is mounted on the movable member, and a driving coil attached to the movable member, the hybrid optical module being slid on the shafts so as to perform a tracking operation on a recording medium, wherein a portion of the hybrid optical module which is closer to one of the shafts is cut out in substantially parallel

Art Unit: 2652

with the shafts, and by an angle which is substantially equal to an incident angle of an optical path in a tracking direction of the recording medium.

With regard to claim 5, Miike further shows that the hybrid optical module 1 integrates optical components.

With regard to claim 6, Miike further shows that the optical components are formed on a substrate (the bottom of the cavity in Fig. 6).

With regard to claim 7, Miike further shows the substrate and the optical components are housed in a package.

With regard to claim 9, Milke further shows that the hybrid optical module includes: a substrate (the bottom of the cavity in Fig. 6); a photo detector 32 formed on the substrate; a prism 34 formed on the substrate; and a laser diode 38 formed on the substrate.

Miike does not specifically mentioned photo diode.

Official Notice is taken: it is well known in the art that photo diode is commonly used as photodetector.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to find in Miike's device photo diode could be included. The rationale is as follows: Applicant does not specifically disclose the photo diode and photodetector. Miike's device includes photodetector, which could be a photo diode. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected that the photodetector used in Miike's device could include photo diode.

With regard to claim 10, in above mentioned device, the substrate, the photo detector, the prism, the photo diode, and the laser diode are housed in a package.

Art Unit: 2652

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 7

5. Claims 8, 11, 12, 16-18, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including

all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

• With regard to claims 8, 11, 12, and 16; as the closest reference, Miike (US

6,111,827) shows a mounting structure including a hybrid optical module and

two shafts, wherein the optical elements are housed in a package; but fails to

show an area of the package, as viewed along a plane containing at least the

two shafts, is smaller than an area of the moveable member, as viewed along

the plane.

• With regard to claim 17, as the closest reference, Miike (US 6,111,827) shows a

mounting structure including a hybrid optical module and two shafts, a spindle

on which the optical medium rotates; and an objective lens for directing light

towards the optical medium, but fails to show that the hybrid optical module

is disposed completely between the first shaft and a plane containing an optical

axis of the objective lens and a rotational axis of the spindle.

Applicant asserts that by using above structure, the interference with the shaft

can be prevented from occurring, and an unnecessary space can be reduced

(Specification, p. 5, lines 3-5).

Page 8

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7499. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Tianjie Chen

Examiner

Art Unit 2652